

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,506	04/09/2001	Johnson C.H. Tzu	nnson C.H. Tzu 004728.P055		
75	590 07/17/2002				
Chun M. Ng			EXAMINER		
BLAKELY, SO Seventh Floor	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR &	ALCALA, JOSE H			
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026	2827			
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3				A				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	111			
		09/829,506		TZU ET AL.	M			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jose H Alcala		2827	droce			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the co	over sheet with the	correspondence ad	aress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl	136(a). In no event,	however, may a reply be t y minimum of thirty (30) da knire SIX (6) MONTHS from	imely filed bys will be considered timel on the mailing date of this c	y. ommunication.			
- Failu - Anv	Opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
3(a(us 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	February 200	<u>2</u> .					
2a)⊠	-	his action is no						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims	rance except f r Ex parte Qua	or formal matters, payle, 1935 C.D. 11,	orosecution as to the 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is			
-	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	١.						
, _	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election red	quirement.					
• •	tion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) b	e neid in abeyance.	ove of UFK 1.00(a)	ner.			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			7.040d by the Exami	,			
40\	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		oo dodon.					
		_AGITHTOL.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ian priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. & 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ight phonity unit	10, 00 0.0.0. 8 110	(-) (-) (-)-				
6	a) All b) Some * c) None of:	inte have heer	received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume2. Certified copies of the priority docume			ation No.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr				al Stage			
1	application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PC1 I ist of the certif	Rule 17.2(a)). led copies not rece	ived.				
14)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provision	al application).			
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional app	olication has been	received.				
Attachm								
1) No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)	4) Interview Sumr 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) Paper l nal Patent Application (No(s) PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/829,506 Page 2

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites in lines 11-12: "tapes connected between said die and an end of said plurality of first leads over said dummy chip". This statement is vague, since it is not clear what is meant by "connecting between", it is not clear how an object can be connecting between two other objects, it is suggested to change the recitation to "disposed between" or simply "connecting".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Ohno et al. (US Patent No 5,227,662) in view of Lee et al. (US Patent No 6,087,722).
 As best understood by the examiner:

, ,

Art Unit: 2827

Regarding Claims 1 and 7, Ohno teaches a lead frame package with dummy chip comprising: a lead frame (Reference Number 10) with a plurality of first leads (Reference Number 28); a molding compound (Reference Number 38); a dummy chip (Reference Number 40) and a die (Reference Number 34), wherein said molding compound encapsulates said die and said dummy chip (See Figure 7), said dummy chip being arranged on a lower portion of said molding compound (See Figure 7), said die being stacked on an upper surface of said dummy chip (See Figure 7); and a plurality of bonding wires (Reference number 36) connecting between said die and an end of said plurality of first leads over said dummy chip.

Ohno fails to teach that the die is stacked on an upper surface of said dummy chip by using an adhesive material. Lee teaches a chip (Reference number 71) attached to a pad (dummy chip) (Reference number 82), using an adhesive material (Reference number 92). In addition, Lee teaches a chip (Reference number 11) attached to another chip (Reference number 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Ohno and Lee, in order to attach the die to the dummy chip with an adhesive layer. Thus, stabilizing the package and avoiding undesired surfaces of the lead frame to be exposed.

Regarding Claim 2, Ohno teaches that said dummy chip is formed of silicon (Column 5, line 44).

Regarding Claim 3, Ohno teaches that said dummy chip refers to a substrate without IC formed therein (See figure 7).

Art Unit: 2827

Regarding Claim 4, Ohno teaches that said dummy chip is exposed by said molding compound (See Figure 7).

Regarding Claim 5, Ohno teaches a tape (Reference Number 28) to fix said plurality of first leads.

Regarding Claim 6, Ohno fails to teach that said lead frame further comprises a plurality of second leads outside said dummy chip. Lee teaches a plurality of first leads (Reference Numbers 32 and 33) and a plurality of second leads (Reference numbers 31) outside a second chip (Reference number 21). See Figure 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to further modify the combination of the teachings of Ohno and Lee as stated supra for claim 1, in order to further comprises a plurality of second leads outside said dummy chip. Thus, stabilizing the package and adding more strength in order to hold the die more firmly over the dummy chip.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2827

directly trough the bonding wire 36, anticipating those limitations of the present invention.

Applicant further argues that in the case that the leads 28 and plastic film 22' are omitted from the structure provided by the combination of Ohno et al. and Lee et al., the above purpose of the lead frame 10 of Ohno et al. would be destroyed, making the disclosures of the two uncombinable. In response to applicant's argument it is noted that, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references.

Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Furthermore, the rejection never mentions that leads 28 and plastic film 22' are omitted from the structure, rather the rejection relies on element 28, proving that the leads 28 are present in the structure.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2827

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jose H Alcala whose telephone number is (703) 305-

9844. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday.

8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (703) 308-3301. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431

for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

JHA

July 15, 2002

KAMAND CUNEO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6